



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO,	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,096	07/24/2003	Toshiki Hirano	HSJ920030046US1	2245
36023	7590 10/31/2006		EXAMINER	
НІТАСНІ G	LOBAL STORAGE TEC	MILLER,	MILLER, BRIAN E	
	5600 COTTLE ROAD, NHGB/0142 IP DEPARTMENT			PAPER NUMBER
	SAN JOSE, CA 95193		2627	
			DATE MAILED: 10/31/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/627,096	HIRANO ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Brian E. Miller	2627
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in the property of the prop	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISTRICT OF THE MAILING DEPTH OF T	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror e. cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed  n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 A</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	
Dispositi	ion of Claims	•	
5) □ 6) ፟⊠ 7) □ 8) □ <b>Applicat</b> i	Claim(s) 1,2,8-12,18-23 and 29-31 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,2,8-12,18-23 and 29-31 is/are rejected to.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed are is/are, s) are	wn from consideration.  cted.  or election requirement.	
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
2)	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

Claims 1-2, 8-12, 18-20, 22-23, 29-31 are now pending.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Page 2

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 8-12, 18-20, 22-23, 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. (a) Claim 1 (and similarly for claims 11, 22) the language "by a microactuator structure" renders the claims indefinite and incomplete because there is no structural cooperation between the "microactuator structure" and other elements of the claim(s). It is not readily apparent how the recited structure permits such movement; (b) claim 8 (and similarly for claims 18, 29) the language "wherein the micro-fabricated chip is a microactuator" is indefinite as it is not readily apparent whether the "microactuator" recited in claim 8 (and 18, 29) is the same or different than the "microactuator structure" recited in claim 1 (and 11, 22).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2627

4. Claims 1-2, 8-12, 18-20, 22-23, 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Boutaghou et al (US 6,069,771) Boutaghou et al discloses a "micro-fabricated chip", as shown in FIGs. 2A-2B, comprising: (with respect to claim 1) a stationary structure including 38a/32/31; and a movable structure 38b having a gimbal structure 33/34, the gimbal structure allowing pitch and roll motion of the movable structure with respect to the stationary structure (see also col. 2, lines 40-65); (as per claim 2) wherein the gimbal structure includes a dimple surface, e.g., on the top surface of the slider making a rolling-type contact with the stationary structure 31; (as per claim 8) wherein the micro-fabricated chip is a microactuator, e.g., 38a, 38b; (as per claim 9) wherein the movable structure moves in a rotational direction, i.e., about axis 36, with respect to the stationary structure (see col. 2, lines 59-62). (As per claim 11) Boutaghou et al discloses a suspension 32 for a disk drive, comprising: a load beam 32; a micro-fabricated chip having a stationary structure 38a and a movable structure 38b having a gimbal structure 33/34, the stationary structure 38a being attached to the load beam 32 and the gimbal structure 33/34 allowing pitch and roll motion of the movable structure with respect to the stationary structure; and a slider 34, e.g., considered part of the gimbal structure, attached to the movable structure; (as per claim 12) wherein the gimbal structure includes a dimple surface, e.g., top of slider 34, making a rolling-type contact with the stationary structure 31; (as per claim 18) wherein the micro-fabricated chip is a microactuator 38; (as per claim 19) wherein the movable structure and the slider move in a rotational direction with respect to the stationary structure (see col. 2, lines 59-62).

As claims 22-23, 29-30 include similar limitations to the claims as described above, they are rejected under the same grounds.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,096

Art Unit: 2627

With respect to claims 10, 20 and 31, Boutaghou et al further discloses the movable structure moves in a translational direction with respect to the stationary structure (refer to FIGs. 3A, 3B and col. 3, lines 29-58).

Page 4

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 8/8/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive in so far as the limitations are claimed.

A...Applicant asserts that "the Boutaghou reference does not disclose the microactuator positioning the gimbal in the pitch and role directions. In fact, the Boutaghou reference teaches only moving the gimbal in a single plane because it uses existing micromotor designs that simplify design. (Boutaghou, col. 4, lines 2-6)."

In response, the Examiner considers that the pending claims do not specifically set forth this feature and it is maintained that the gimbal structure in Boutaghou, as interpreted by the Examiner, has the ability to pitch and roll as known in the art. The specific structure of the to permit such pitch and roll movements is simply not recited in the claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2627

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian E. Miller whose telephone number is (571) 272-7578. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 6:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2627

If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

Brian E. Miller Primary Examiner Art Unit 2627

BEM October 30, 2006